

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Examiner objected to claims 6-16 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form. Claims 6, 8, 11-13, and 15 have been amended to overcome the Examiner's objection.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berns et al., U.S. Pat. No. 3,931,549 in view of Holdahl et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,483,412. Berns does not teach all the limitations of amended claim 1. More specifically Berns does not teach wherein the component holding section further comprises interposing pieces. Referring to FIGURE 1 of Berns, FIGURE 1 clearly shows the electronic components 128, 132, 133, and 134 mounted to the lower bobbin flange 11 but FIGURE 1 does not show the electronic components interposed between interposing pieces. Thus, Berns does not teach where the component mounting section further comprises interposing pieces. Therefore, Berns does not teach all the limitations of amended claim 1.

Claims 2 and 4 depend from amended claim 1 thus all arguments pertaining to claim 1 are equally applicable to these claims and are herein incorporated by reference.

The Examiner objected to claims 3 and 5 as being dependent on upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 3 and 5 have been amended to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and is thus in condition for allowance.

Applicant notes that the Examiner has not acknowledged the priority documents mailed

on October 30, 2003. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner acknowledge the priority documents on the next official action.

Applicant further notes that the Examiner did not initial all references on the IDS. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner initial all references on the next official action.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 36129.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By:

  
Michael W. Garvey, Reg. No. 35878

1801 East 9th Street  
Suite 1200  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108  
(216) 579-1700

Date: May 31, 2005